

# Zevachim – Simanim פרק ז – חטאת העוף

### TP סז – 17 Daf

#### 1. Rebbe Yehoshua's reason: an עולת העוף brought as a חטאת becomes a חטאת העוף

Rebbe Yehoshua said that an מליקה whose מליקה was performed entirely like a חטאת is no longer subject to me'ilah. Rav Adda bar Ahavah explains his reason: כיון שמלק בה סימן אחד – as soon as he performed melikah on one pipe, נעשית חטאת העוף – it is drawn and made into a bird-chatas. The Gemara asks that Rebbe Yehoshua should rule similarly by a חטאת העוף whose מליקה was performed like an עולת העוף, but Rav Ashi differentiates: a chatas becomes valid through cutting one siman, and an עולת requires cutting two simanim, and מליקה of an עולת במחחס tbe valid מליקה העוף . Therefore, as soon as he cuts one siman למטה it is transformed into a חטאת העוף, because it is not yet established as "a disqualified "עולה brought as an חטאת מזבח brought as an מליקה is valid anywhere on the חטאת when the first siman is cut.

#### 2. Mishnayos in עולת העוף about women whose עולת העוף may have been brought למטה

The Gemara initially understands Rav Adda bar Ahava to mean that an עולת העוף brought as a חטאת even fulfills his obligation. This is challenged from a Mishnah in קינים about two poor women who gave birth, obligating each in an עולת העוף and a חטאת העוף and a חטאת העוף. Each had brought one of her korbanos, so that one ("Leah") still owed an understands, and the other ("Rochel") a חטאת, and they jointly bought a pair of birds for their remaining obligation. If the Kohen brought both מעלה or both מעלה or both מעלה is valid in each case. If he brought one משאת חטאת למטה, both are invalid, ישאני אומר חטאת קרבה למעלה ועולה קרבה למטה, both are invalid, שאני אומר חטאת קרבה למעלה ועולה קרבה למטה because I say that perhaps the שאני אומר חטאת brought above and the ישאני אומר חטאת rendering both פסול '! It answers that Rebbe Yehoshua's principle was only said for below, let it transform into Rochel's חטאת העוף? It answers that Rebbe Yehoshua's principle was only said for חסאפספוף, that one person (who himself also owed a חוד ברא should become someone else's חטאת ברי מי אמר במרי בברי מי אמר בפרי מי אמר בפרי מי אמר בפרי מי אמר שולה should become someone else's חטאת?! Certainly not! However, the Gemara proves from another Mishnah that such an עולת העוף obligation.

#### 3. A woman who pledged to bring עולות with her יולדת korbanos (כשהוא חי קולו אחד וכשהוא מת קולו ז')

A Mishnah in קינים states: a woman who pledged to bring a pair of birds (as קינים) if she gives birth to a boy, owes one pair for her אלמעלה (נולות (עולות (מו חטאת)). Thus, three must be brought למעלה, אמם one pair for her למטה). If the Kohen brought two למעלה then one עולה, then one עולה was invalid, and she must bring one more, assuming all the birds were the same species. If one pair was בני יונה and one was בני יונה, she must bring two birds, one of each species: whichever pair was brought second was for her עולות, and since one was invalid, it must be replaced with another of the same species. Since the Kohen does not know which pair was brought first, she must bring one of each. The Mishnah's case continues to grow in complexity, until she must bring as many as seven birds to replace the single invalidated עולה About this Rebbe Yehoshua comments, זהו שאמרו כשהוא חי קולו אחד – this is like what they say about a ram, "When it is alive, its voice is one, and when it is dead, its voice is seven!" [This expression refers to the fact that a live ram bleats with one voice, but a dead ram's body can be fashioned into seven instruments.]

#### Siman - Sizzling Barbecue

The Kohen barbecuing the עולת העוף that was brought as a חטאת and had become a חטאת with the cutting of one siman, tried to cheer up the Kohen who messed up the bird offerings of two poor women who gave birth by bringing one above and one below, and a Kohen who bungled up the offerings of a woman who pledge a pair of bird עולות if she had a boy, which resulted in her having to bring seven birds to replace a single invalidated ...

## DAF 67 | דף סז

## Sizzling Barbeque



The Kohen barbecuing the עולת העוף that was brought as a חטאת and had become a חטאת with the cutting of one siman, tried to cheer up the Kohen who messed up the bird offerings of two poor women who gave birth by bringing one above and one below, and a Kohen who bungled up the offerings of a woman who pledge a pair of bird עולות if she had a boy, which resulted in her having to bring seven birds to replace a single invalidated.

# things to remember

- 1. Rebbe Yehoshua's reason: an חטאת brought as a חטאת חטאת העוף
- 2. Mishnayos in קינים about women whose עולת העוף may have been brought למטה
- 3. A woman who pledged to bring עולות with her יולדת korbanos (כשהוא חי) (קולו אחד וכשהוא מת קולו ז')

